

**STATE OF OHIO  
CASINO CONTROL COMMISSION**

*In re:*

Case No. 2012-0007

**JOHN LASPADA,  
CASINO GAMING EMPLOYEE LICENSE  
APPLICANT**

Respondent.

**ORDER DENYING CASINO GAMING EMPLOYEE LICENSE APPLICATION**

On or about February 15, 2012, Respondent John LaSpada filed a Casino Gaming Employee License Application (“Application”) with the Ohio Casino Control Commission (“Commission”). (Hr’g Ex. F.) Thereafter, the Commission conducted a suitability investigation of LaSpada to determine his eligibility for such a license.

During the suitability investigation, the Commission discovered sufficient derogatory information to warrant issuance of a Notice of Intent to Deny and Opportunity for Hearing (“Notice”), dated April 20, 2012. (See Hr’g Ex. A.) LaSpada received the Notice, sent via certified mail, on April 24, 2012. (Hr’g Ex. B.) The Commission supplied LaSpada with supplemental (“Supplement”) information regarding its intent to deny his application, dated May 8, 2012. (Hr’g Ex. E.) LaSpada received this supplement, sent via certified mail, on May 11, 2012. (Hr’g Ex. E(1).) Pursuant to R.C. 119.07 and 3772.04, LaSpada had the right to a hearing if requested within 30 days of the Notice’s mailing. LaSpada so requested and the Commission scheduled a hearing for May 10, 2012; and upon its own motion, the Commission continued the hearing until May 29, 2012. (Hr’g Ex. D.) Upon LaSpada’s motion and without objection from the Commission, the hearing was continued to July 17, 2012. (Hr’g Ex. H.) The Examiner denied LaSpada’s second request for a continuance, (id.), and LaSpada did not appear at the hearing. Accordingly, the Commission held the hearing as scheduled before Hearing Examiner Andrew Cooke (“Examiner”).

After presentation and submission of the evidence at the hearing, the Examiner closed the record to prepare a Report and Recommendation (“R&R”), (Tr. 12), which he submitted on August 28, 2012. Therein, the Examiner found that LaSpada: 1) submitted an Application that contained false information, in violation of R.C. 3772.10(C)(2); and 2) failed to prove his suitability for licensure by clear and convincing evidence, as required by 3772.10(B) and (C)(7). (R&R 3-4.) As a result of these findings, the Examiner recommended that the Commission deny LaSpada’s Application. (Id. at 5.)

On August 31, 2012, the Commission sent LaSpada, via certified mail, a copy of the R&R. (App. #1; App. #2.) LaSpada received the R&R on September 4, 2012, (App. #2), giving him until October 4, 2012, to file objections, see R.C. 3772.04(A)(2); LaSpada did not do so, however.


In accordance with R.C. 119.07 and 3772.04, the matter was submitted to the Commission on October 17, 2012, for final adjudication.

**WHEREFORE**, in consideration of the foregoing and upon a quorum and majority vote of the members, the Commission **ADOPTS** the Examiner's R&R without modification.

**WHEREFORE**, in consideration of the foregoing and upon a quorum and majority vote of the members, the Commission **ORDERS** as follows:

- 1) LaSpada's Application is **DENIED**;
- 2) LaSpada is **PROHIBITED** from working or otherwise serving in any capacity that requires a license under R.C. Chapter 3772;
- 3) LaSpada is **PROHIBITED** from reapplying for licensure under R.C. Chapter 3772 for three years from the date this Order is served upon him, absent a waiver granted by the Commission commensurate with Ohio Adm. Code 3772-1-04; and
- 4) A certified copy of this Order shall be served upon LaSpada, via certified mail, return receipt requested, and his counsel of record, if any, via ordinary mail.

**IT IS SO ORDERED.**

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Jo Ann Davidson, Chair  
Ohio Casino Control Commission

**NOTICE OF APPEAL RIGHTS**

Respondent is hereby notified that pursuant to R.C. 119.12, this Commission Order may be appealed by filing a Notice of Appeal with the Commission setting forth the Order that Respondent is appealing from and stating that the Commission's Order is not supported by reliable, probative, and substantial evidence and is not in accordance with law. The Notice of Appeal may also include, but is not required to include, the specific grounds for the appeal. The Notice of Appeal must also be filed with the appropriate court of common pleas in accordance with R.C. 119.12. In filing the Notice of Appeal with the Commission or court, the notice that is filed may be either the original Notice of Appeal or a copy thereof. The Notice of Appeal must be filed within 15 days after the date of mailing of this Commission Order.